

Don Corleone and “The offer they can’t refuse”: When the End Justifies the Means

Author:
Farid MA'RUF

Written and submitted in fulfillment of the 'Ideal Organization' essay project for the Organization Theory course, Master 1 of the Master's in Management (MiM) Program, International Institute of Management (IIM), Conservatoire National des Arts et Métiers (CNAM), Paris, 2025

Prologue

Over the course of this essay, I will explore one of the most phenomenal works of fiction in the history of storytelling, both in written and audiovisual form: *The Godfather*, originally a novel by Mario Puzo¹ and later adapted into a legendary film trilogy written and directed by Francis F. Coppola and co-written by Mario Puzo himself. *The Godfather* trilogy² chronicles the rise, consolidation, and eventual decline of the Corleone family, an organized crime dynasty navigating power, loyalty, betrayal, and survival in mid-20th-century America. Beneath its surface of crime and violence, the story presents a sophisticated portrayal of leadership, strategy, culture, and organizational change. [1] [2] [3] [4]

There are only two ways for us to reach a mutual understanding that the Corleone family can be perceived as a representation of an ideal organization. The first is to temporarily set aside the moral violations committed by the Corleone family in the operation of their business. In this context, I argue that every organization, at some point, is faced with moral dilemmas—situations in which they must choose between two difficult options, both of which may challenge certain ethical values. The Corleone family happens to operate in a business that resides uncomfortably close to the boundary between the moral and the amoral.

The second approach is to treat the Corleone family's operations as a metaphorical mirror—an imaginative exercise from which we can learn

and explore ways to design an organization that functions effectively, efficiently, and sustainably. We do not need to replicate the specific actions taken by the Corleone family in running their enterprise—that would not only be unrealistic but also criminal. What we *can* emulate, however, is the spirit and underlying logic of their organization.

I propose these two interpretive lenses so that this essay may serve its purpose. As this essay will show, that spirit is neither entirely new nor taboo; in fact, it aligns with many principles already explored in established organizational theory.

Discussion

The Corleone family, as depicted in *The Godfather* trilogy, can be interpreted as a highly structured, deeply cohesive, and enduring organization that operates within a volatile and informal economic environment. Viewed through the lens of organizational theory, the Corleone family most closely resembles what Henry Mintzberg calls a simple structure:³ a centralized configuration in which authority is concentrated in the hands of one individual, with minimal formalization and a flexible, adaptive role structure [5] [6]. At the core of this system is the Don, who serves as both the strategic leader and symbolic head of the organization. His power is both formal and cultural, rooted not only in command but in his ability to inspire loyalty, maintain discipline, and preserve the family legacy. This is clearly illustrated in the opening

¹ Mario Puzo's 1969 novel *The Godfather* spent 67 weeks on *The New York Times* bestseller list. Puzo reportedly wrote it to pay off gambling debts, yet it became one of the most influential narratives in both crime fiction and organizational allegory.

² Released in 1972, *The Godfather* redefined the crime genre and was selected for preservation in the U.S. National Film Registry for being “culturally, historically, or

aesthetically significant.” It also sparked academic interest in viewing criminal organizations through the lens of business theory.

³ Henry Mintzberg identifies the “simple structure” as one of five basic organizational configurations. It is characterized by a centralized decision-making process, low formalization, and direct supervision, typically found in entrepreneurial or small-scale enterprises.

scene of *The Godfather* (1972), when Don Vito Corleone⁴ holds court in his dimly lit office on the day of his daughter's wedding⁵. With calm authority, he listens, evaluates, and renders judgment, setting the tone for how leadership is performed within the family: relational, ceremonial, yet absolute.

The organization comprises several key actors, each fulfilling roles critical to the stability and execution of the family's objectives. The Don leads the organization with support from his consigliere, or advisor, who provides legal insight and strategic counsel—this is particularly evident in the character of Tom Hagen, who not only advises but also negotiates with external entities on behalf of the Don. An example can be seen when Tom is sent to Hollywood to convince producer Jack Woltz to cast Johnny Fontane in his film—a negotiation that escalates from polite request to a terrifying ultimatum. Beneath the Don and the consigliere sits the underboss, who coordinates the day-to-day operations and oversees several caporegimes, or captains, who in turn manage smaller units or “crews.” These crews are the operational arms of the family, handling enforcement, negotiation, business arrangements, and conflict resolution. The attack on Sonny at the toll booth—a direct result of internal tension and external threats—demonstrates how each layer of the organization plays a role in protecting or exposing the family. What binds these participants is not a written contract, but a web of loyalty, honor, and identity—values that reflect Chester Barnard's view of the organization as a cooperative system⁶, where personal motivations are reconciled with collective goals through moral authority and trust [7].

⁴ Vito Corleone was partially inspired by real-life mob figures such as Frank Costello, known as the “Prime Minister of the Underworld,” who preferred diplomacy and public quietude over violence—a leadership style mirrored in Vito's own preferences. Don Vito Corleone's character in the film was played by Marlon Brando. Paramount initially resisted casting Marlon Brando due to his difficult reputation. Director Francis Ford Coppola insisted, even filming a secret screen test with Brando transforming himself using cotton balls in his cheeks and shoe polish in his hair to look like the aging Don.

⁵ The wedding scene mirrors traditional Sicilian customs, where the Don must not refuse a request on his daughter's wedding day—a custom borrowed from Italian folklore, reinforcing Vito's image as a benevolent yet powerful patriarch.

⁶ Chester Barnard conceptualized organizations as cooperative systems that rely on shared goals and moral authority.

The overall goal of the Corleone organization is the preservation and expansion of power, wealth, and influence. However, beneath this surface lies a more nuanced objective: the long-term security and prosperity of the family, both biological and symbolic. This dual agenda is most clearly embodied in Michael Corleone's arc, especially in *The Godfather Part II* (1974), where his desire to legitimize⁷ the family's operations conflicts with the violent legacy he inherits. Michael's insistence that the Corleone family will be “completely legitimate in five years” signals a strategic transformation akin to those seen in corporations trying to evolve from grey-market origins into lawful entities. Yet, the contradiction is starkly evident in the juxtaposition between his business ambition and the climactic betrayal of his own brother Fredo—a decision that shows how legitimacy, in this world, must still be enforced through old-world mechanisms of power and loyalty.

Operating within an environment that is fragmented, uncertain, and frequently hostile, the Corleone family must constantly adapt to changing power dynamics, rival families, political shifts, and legal risks. This reflects the contingency theory⁸ of organizational design, which holds that no single structure guarantees success; rather, effectiveness is determined by the fitness between an organization's internal mechanisms and its external environment [8] [9]. The family's ability to navigate through the Five Families' conflict, handle infiltration by law enforcement, and orchestrate cross-country operations illustrates this adaptive capacity. One telling example is the baptism scene⁹ in *The*

He emphasized the importance of informal organization and the willingness of individuals to contribute to a common purpose.

⁷ Michael's desire to “make the family legitimate” echoes real-life accounts of American mafia families transitioning into legal businesses—some scholars liken this to a form of organizational institutionalization.

⁸ Contingency theory argues that the optimal organizational structure is contingent upon various internal and external factors. Lawrence and Lorsch's research emphasized the need for organizations to adapt structurally based on the complexity and uncertainty of their environment.

⁹ This iconic sequence, cross-cutting sacred ritual with brutal violence, is often cited in film studies as a masterclass in juxtaposition and is frequently used in leadership and ethics courses to discuss compartmentalization in executive decision-making.

Godfather, where Michael simultaneously performs his religious duties as godfather and orders the execution of rival heads—a chilling but methodical realignment of power that ensures the survival and dominance of his organization. Such adaptability demonstrates organizational resilience amid instability.

Socially, the family operates not through formal hierarchy but through an implicit structure rooted in norms, traditions, and emotional ties. Authority is respected not merely because of positional power but because of the cultural performance of leadership—what Erving Goffman might describe as the “presentation of self”¹⁰ in a leadership role [10]. This is evident in Don Vito’s often repeated phrase, “I’ll make him an offer he can’t refuse,”¹¹ a statement that embodies both coercion and diplomacy, and is delivered with a calmness that masks its threat. Rituals such as the kissing of the Don’s hand, the exchanging of favors, and the observance of *omertà* (the code of silence) reinforce social cohesion and discipline within the group. Even in scenes of chaos—such as Sonny’s impulsive reactions or Fredo’s betrayal—the symbolic weight of family unity and tradition keeps the organization intact. Within this framework, the Corleone family operates with remarkable consistency, loyalty, and strategic focus.

The Corleone family’s evolution of leadership offers a compelling study in the types of authority described by Max Weber: traditional, charismatic, and rational-legal [11]. Don Vito Corleone rules with traditional and charismatic authority—his power stems not only from his role as patriarch but also from the personal loyalty he inspires. His calm demeanor, wisdom, and benevolence toward those in his inner circle make him a respected leader. A quintessential example of this is seen in the opening wedding scene, where Vito receives guests seeking favors—a symbolic performance of his patriarchal and charismatic power.

However, as the organization transitions to the leadership of Michael Corleone, we witness a shift. Michael embodies a more rational-legal authority, emphasizing structure, order, and strategic clarity. This evolution reflects the real-world challenge of leadership succession [12] [13]. The baptism scene, where Michael has his enemies executed while attending the church ceremony for his godchild, marks his consolidation of power. The juxtaposition of holy ritual and calculated violence illustrates the cold efficiency of his rule. Michael is no longer a reluctant heir—he is a calculating executive. This transition also mirrors Bass’ transformational vs transactional leadership theory¹². Vito was transformational—drawing people through values and vision—whereas Michael becomes transactional, focused on control, stability, and execution. This handover illustrates how organizations must navigate shifts in leadership styles while maintaining continuity. [14]

The Corleone family frequently makes decisions under conditions of uncertainty, embodying Herbert Simon’s concept of bounded rationality. Simon argues that decision-makers operate within the limits of available information, cognitive capacity, and time, leading to “satisficing” rather than optimizing behavior [15]. When Vito is shot and incapacitated, the remaining members must quickly reorganize, reassess threats, and realign their strategy. In a scene shortly after the attack, Sonny takes over operations but struggles with impulsivity, while Tom Hagen attempts to negotiate with rival families. The strategic disarray here highlights the friction between rapid decision-making and organizational coherence [16].

Michael’s rise marks a shift toward a more deliberate, calculated strategy. His iconic line, “I’m gonna make him an offer he can’t refuse,” delivered before enacting a violent resolution to business conflict, captures the blend of intimidation and negotiation that defines the family’s planning process. This moment also reveals their

¹⁰ Erving Goffman’s dramaturgical theory views social interaction as a theatrical performance, where individuals manage impressions through symbolic gestures, rituals, and behaviors to maintain social roles and expectations.

¹¹ This line, ranked #2 on the American Film Institute’s list of top 100 movie quotes, embodies the fusion of charm and coercion—a duality often explored in leadership literature on soft vs hard power.

¹² Bernard M. Bass extended earlier leadership theories by distinguishing transformational leaders—who inspire and motivate—from transactional leaders—who manage through reward and punishment systems. Bass was inspired by James MacGregor Burns’ political leadership studies and initially applied transformational leadership theory to U.S. presidents before generalizing it to organizations.

understanding of power dynamics and influence¹³—key factors in strategic management. As Michael consolidates control, his decisions reflect a careful weighing of outcomes, costs, and risks [17] [18]. He does not merely react; he strategizes. The Corleones show that strategic planning in volatile contexts requires balancing intuition, loyalty, and pragmatism—principles echoed in Mintzberg's emergent strategy theory¹⁴ [19].

Conflict is a defining feature of the Corleone family's environment. Whether dealing with rival families or internal betrayal, the organization exemplifies Louis Pondy's model of organizational conflict, which sees conflict as a process unfolding over time—from latent tension to perceived conflict, to felt conflict, then manifest conflict, and finally conflict aftermath [20]. The war with the Tattaglia and Barzini families follows this arc. Initially, tensions are latent—competitive interests simmer beneath polite interactions. These eventually erupt into open warfare following the attempted assassination of Vito Corleone and the killing of Sonny.

One of the most striking examples of crisis management is the restaurant assassination scene, where Michael kills Sollozzo and McCluskey. This act is not simply personal revenge—it's a carefully calculated move to restore balance and reassert the family's strategic dominance. It demonstrates that in high-stakes environments, organizations must sometimes engage in decisive—even radical—actions to survive. Conflict, while risky, also serves as a catalyst for change and realignment, as the Corleones restructure leadership and redefine their objectives. Their handling of crises reflects an ability to recognize when to negotiate and when to assert dominance—a delicate balance essential for organizational survival.

Michael Corleone's leadership represents an ambitious attempt to fundamentally transform the organization. His goal is to make the Corleone family "completely legitimate" within five

years, marking a shift from informal to formal economic activity. This transition aligns with Kurt Lewin's Change Model: unfreezing existing structures, introducing change, and then re-freezing a new identity [21]. The move from New York to Nevada, along with investment in legal businesses like casinos, symbolizes the organization's attempt to align with new environmental demands and societal expectations.

This transformation also mirrors concepts from institutional theory, particularly the idea of isomorphism, where organizations begin to resemble others in their field due to pressures of legitimacy, efficiency, or regulation [22]. Michael's pivot toward legitimacy is not purely moral—it is strategic. He understands that survival in the modern world requires shedding the family's criminal origins. However, the resistance he faces—from within and without—illustrates the difficulty of organizational change. Realignment culture, personnel, and public perception is complex and often met with entrenched interests. The Corleone family's journey reflects the challenges real-world organizations face when attempting deep transformation.

The Corleone family operates within a deeply symbolic and value-laden cultural system. Edgar Schein's model of organizational culture identifies three levels: artifacts (visible structures), espoused values (stated beliefs), and basic assumptions (unconscious beliefs) [23]. The family's rituals—like the formal introduction of new members, the significance of the Don's office, and even the refusal to discuss business at the dinner table—represent cultural artifacts. These actions reinforce deeper values such as loyalty, respect, secrecy, and honor.

A key scene that illustrates cultural transmission is when Vito tells Johnny Fontane, "A man who doesn't spend time with his family can never be a real man." This declaration is not merely paternal—it is instructional. It reinforces the centrality of family, duty, and masculinity within the organization's ethos. Power

¹³ Power and influence are central concepts in leadership and organizational behavior studies, often explored through frameworks like French and Raven's bases of power and Pfeffer's work on political dynamics. French and Raven's 1959 theory is still widely taught today, and their concept of "referent power" became the basis for understanding celebrity influence and personal branding.

¹⁴ Mintzberg argues that not all strategy is deliberate; many successful strategies emerge from unplanned actions and real-time learning. Mintzberg once said, "Strategy is not just what's on paper—it's what organizations actually do," which inspired a shift from rigid planning to more adaptive thinking.

distance is also high: lower members obey without question, reflecting Hofstede's cultural dimensions [24] [25]. Yet, this culture is not static. As leadership shifts, so do the cultural signals. Michael brings a colder, more corporate atmosphere—fewer favors, more rules. This evolution reflects how culture must adapt without losing its core identity. The Corleone family demonstrates that strong culture can enhance cohesion and resilience, especially when facing external pressures.

While the Corleone family may operate with remarkable efficiency and adaptability, it is important to confront the darker side of its organizational model. Built on fear, secrecy, and violence, the system is inherently fragile. Critical theory¹⁵ reminds us of that power structures—especially those built on domination—are vulnerable to internal decay and external critique [26]. The killing of Fredo in Part II, orchestrated by Michael, illustrates the tragic erosion of personal loyalty in the face of organizational imperatives. When fear replaces trust as the binding agent, the organization loses its moral center.

Moreover, the family's journey illustrates the ethical hazards of prioritizing ends over means. The pursuit of legacy, legitimacy, and loyalty becomes hollow when the cost is personal isolation and moral corruption. Stakeholder theory¹⁶ argues that organizations must consider the broader impact of their actions—not just on internal members, but on society [27]. By the end of the trilogy, Michael is alone, estranged, and remorseful. This outcome has left us with challenges to consider what makes an organization truly ideal. Efficiency and control are not enough; without ethical grounding and mutual respect, even the most formidable organizations can unravel.

Epilogue

While the Corleone family may operate with remarkable efficiency and adaptability, it is crucial to confront the darker side of its organizational model. Built on fear, secrecy, and violence, the

system is inherently fragile. Critical theorists caution that power structures grounded in domination, rather than mutual consent, are ultimately unsustainable. The killing of Fredo in *The Godfather Part II*, ordered by Michael, serves as a stark reminder: when the pursuit of organizational goals demands the sacrifice of trust, family, and morality, the cost may be greater than the gains. What began as a protective enterprise rooted in loyalty and survival becomes a machine that devours its own.

This erosion is not just a matter of personal tragedy; it is an organizational failing. Fear, when used as a control mechanism, can drive short-term compliance, but it corrodes the social fabric needed for long-term resilience. The Corleone family demonstrates that when organizations prioritize outcomes above all else—what Machiavellian logic would describe as "the end justifies the means"—they risk losing their soul. This principle, seductive in its clarity, offers operational clarity but not moral clarity [28] [29].

Stakeholder theory reminds us that organizations do not exist in a vacuum. They are embedded in communities, economies, and cultures—and they have responsibilities to all stakeholders, not just internal ones. The Corleone family's disregard for broader societal norms eventually isolates them from the very legitimacy they seek. Michael ends the trilogy not as a respected leader, but as a broken man—estranged from his family, haunted by his choices, and stripped of the very identity he sought to preserve.

This final image is sobering. It compels us to reflect on what truly makes an organization ideal. Is it power, precision, and survival at all costs? Or is it the ability to cultivate loyalty without fear, authority without cruelty, and purpose without corruption? The Corleone family's journey warns us: an organization can win every battle and still lose the war within. In the end, the ideal organization must balance strategic acumen with ethical wisdom—because without the latter, even the most formidable empire will eventually crumble.

¹⁵ Originating from the Frankfurt School, critical theory critiques power structures, ideologies, and systems of domination in society—including in organizations. The Frankfurt School thinkers, including Horkheimer and Adorno, fled Nazi Germany in the 1930s and continued developing Critical Theory in exile at Columbia University in New York.

¹⁶ Proposed by R. Edward Freeman, this theory argues that organizations should consider the interests of all stakeholders—not just shareholders—in decision-making. R. Edward Freeman once joked that stakeholder theory is "just common sense in a suit"—emphasizing that it's about basic ethics, not complex economics.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Puzo, *The Godfather*, New York City: G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1969.
- [2] F. F. Coppola, Director, *The Godfather*. [Film]. United States: Paramount Pictures; Alfran Productions, 1972.
- [3] F. F. Coppola, Director, *The Godfather Part II*. [Film]. United States: Paramount Pictures; The Coppola Company, 1974.
- [4] F. F. Coppola, Director, *The Godfather Part III*. [Film]. United States: Paramount Pictures; Zoetrope Studios, 1990.
- [5] H. Mintzberg, "Structure in 5's: A Synthesis of the Research on Organization Design," *Management Science*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 322-341, 1980.
- [6] H. Mintzberg, *Structure in Fives: Designing Effective Organizations*, London: Pearson, 1992.
- [7] C. I. Barnard, *The Functions of the Executive*, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1938.
- [8] P. R. Lawrence and J. W. Lorsch, "Organization and Environment: Managing Differentiation and Integration," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 180-186, 1968.
- [9] P. R. Lawrence and J. W. Lorsch, *Organization and Environment: Managing Differentiation and Integration*, Boston: Harvard Business School, 1967.
- [10] E. Goffman, *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*, Edinburgh: Doubleday, 1956.
- [11] M. Weber, *Economy and Society: An Outline of Interpretive Sociology*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1978.
- [12] W. Shen and A. A. Cannella Jr., "Revisiting the Performance Consequences of CEO Succession: The Impacts of Successor Type, Postsuccession Senior Executive Turnover, and Departing CEO Tenure," *The Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 717-733, 2002.
- [13] I. F. Kesner and T. C. Sebor, "Executive Succession: Past, Present & Future," *Journal of Management*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 327-372, 1994.
- [14] B. M. Bass, *Leadership and Performance Beyond Expectations*, New York City: Free Press, 1985.
- [15] H. A. Simon, *Administrative Behavior: A Study of Decision-Making Processes in Administrative Organizations*, 4 ed., New York City: Free Press, 1997.
- [16] K. E. Weick, "The Collapse of Sensemaking in Organizations: The Mann Gulch Disaster," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 38, no. 4, p. 628-652, 1993.
- [17] J. J. R. P. French and B. Raven, "The Bases of Social Power," *Studies in Social Power*, vol. 6, p. 150-167, 1959.
- [18] J. Pfeffer, *Managing With Power: Politics and Influence in Organizations*, Brighton: Harvard Business Review Press, 1993.
- [19] H. Mintzberg and J. A. Waters, "Of Strategies, Deliberate and Emergent," *Strategic Management Journal*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 257-272, 1985.
- [20] L. R. Pondy, "Organizational Conflict: Concepts and Models," *Administrative Science Quarterly*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 296-320, 1967.
- [21] K. Lewin, "Frontiers in Group Dynamics: Concept, Method and Reality in Social Science; Social Equilibria and Social

Change," *Human Relations*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 5-41, 1947.

- [22] P. J. DiMaggio and W. W. Powell, "The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields," *American Sociological Review*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 147-160, 1983.
- [23] E. Schein and P. A. Schein, *Organizational Culture and Leadership*, Hoboken: Jossey-Bass, 2010.
- [24] G. Hofstede, *Culture's Consequences: International Differences in Work-Related Values*, Thousand Oaks: SAGE, 1984.
- [25] G. Hofstede, *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions and Organizations Across Nations*, 2 ed., SAGE Publications, Inc: Thousand Oaks, 2003.
- [26] M. Horkheimer, *Critical Theory: Selected Essays*, London: A&C Black, 1972.
- [27] R. E. Freeman, *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [28] N. Machiavelli, *Il Principe*, Asola: Antonio Blado d'Asola, 1532.
- [29] R. Christie and F. L. Geis, *Studies in Machiavellianism*, Cambridge: Academic Press, 2013.
- [30] C. A. O'Reilly III and T. M. L., "The Ambidextrous Organization," *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 82, no. 4, p. 74-81, 2004.